President Emeritus, Michigan State University; Chancellor Emeritus, State University of New York System; Former Chairman and CEO, TIAA-CREF; Former Chairman, Rockefeller Foundation; U.S. Deputy Secretary of State

Never Eat Your SEED CORN

Editor's Note: This Haskins Award Lecture was original delivered at the Stern School of Business, New York University, on April 24, 2013.

 ∞

Charles Waldo Haskins was a preeminent pioneer in the field of accounting. But he was so much more. His credits abound in such areas as: creating the nation's first Certified Public Accounting legislation, establishing the accounting firm of Haskins and Sells, and the founding and deanship of this great university's school of business. These contributions to financial accounting and the Academy are only a few of his multiple noteworthy accomplishments. Thus receiving an award given in his name is an ennobling accolade that I am proudly honored to receive.

I am especially indebted to Dean Peter Henry for this recognition. He is an esteemed academician lauded among his peers and prized by me for his generosity this evening. On this occasion I must congratulate him on his recent book *Turnaround, Third World Lessons for First World Growth*, described as a "riveting tour of postwar world growth, replete with policy successes and devastating mistakes."

Sixty years ago I enrolled as a graduate student in the Department of Economics at the University of Chicago. Among my professors were Nobel prize winners such as Milton

Friedman, Gary Becker, and Theodore Schultz, for whom I was research assistant for four years. My doctorate was a symbol of the school's brand of economic and monetary theory, of the then new econometric analysis, and of dedication to empirical research. But I did not become a Friedman "acolyte" promoting the goals and efficiencies of the free market.

Instead, I joined a very small, but distinguished group: The "CBCBCRAEA"—the city born, city bred, city raised, agricultural economics association. Membership is strictly limited to economists who never lived on a farm, never worked on a farm, and never studied agriculture—but we brashly did study and do research on the economics of agriculture.

This story is relevant to the theme of my remarks this evening, drawn from the first lesson I learned as a youthful agricultural economist when studying small farmers in the less developed regions of Latin America and Southeast Asia. Their lives reflected the reality of a legendary Chicago economist Frank H. Knight—whose famous book was *Risk, Uncertainty and Profit*. Subsistence and semi-subsistence farmers lived in a world of high risk and un-

certainty. Their long-term survival from year to year depended exclusively upon the food they produced. Facing the risks of crop failures from droughts, monsoons, pests or blights, meant always protecting the seed for the next year's crop—even if it meant going hungry. Their wise rule was: "Never Eat Your Seed Corn."

This principle is the key to a major crisis facing U.S. higher education today. Since public higher education enrolls some 75 percent of all students in the United States, let me begin with that sector.

Since I began working in higher education roughly 50 years ago there have been dramatic changes and declines in the levels and sources of its financial support. For example, when I arrived at Michigan State University, student tuition covered one-third the costs of the university and two-thirds came from state and federal sources. Today, those ratios have exactly reversed. Private universities are not immune due to their dependence upon Federal funds, especially for research. Ten of the 20 largest recipients of Federal grants are private universities.

Why is this happening?
One reason for the crisis is the pub-

lic's growing rejection of the value of education for the improvement of society and the individual. Over time there has been a dramatic shift in society's understanding of the purpose and goals of higher education. Increasingly, higher education's primary goal is seen as preparation for a specific job, not the nurture and growth of a broader human competence. Job outcomes after graduation are becoming the dominant criterion of the value of higher education. This utilitarian trend is having a serious destructive impact upon the "humanizing" areas—the arts, philosophy, theater, music, history, humanities, foreign languages. The "Liberal Arts" may soon be an endangered species.

A second reason has been a growth in our society of anti-science and antiknowledge views. The "scientific method of inquiry," which for centuries has been the central foundation for the increase in human knowledge, is being steadily eroded. Attacks by burgeoning ideological or religious fundamentalism has led to political pressure upon course content and curriculum choice, even faculty selection. (Dare I mention the continuing fight over teaching evolution and the denial of global warming? Or the recent Congressional legislation to stop funding university political science research?) These views are gradually becoming the basis for justifying inadequate tax support.

A third related negative factor has been a shifting of cost responsibilities, thereby producing ever higher tuition levels for students and their families. The result has been a reduction in educational access for low-income-sector potential students and an increase in the economic burden placed upon middle-income families, not to men-

tion the larger loan debt levels for the graduates themselves. The effects of these negative forces upon educational opportunity in turn impact future human capital growth. Keep in mind recent studies reveal that "A child born into a family in the highest quartile of income (in the U.S.) has a roughly 85 percent chance of earning a college degree. A child born into a family in the lowest quartile of income has a less-than 8 percent chance of earning a degree." This is a huge national loss of future human capital.

These are the major underlying forces producing a steady erosion in the priorities and levels of support for higher education. These same three forces are also being felt by the private colleges and universities, especially through Federal dollars. For example, in 2011 three out of five of the largest recipients of Federal National Institutes of Health grants were private universities. In the top 20 Federal funding of R & D, every public University of Washington is matched by a private Johns Hopkins University.

These destructive trends have spawned disinvestment in the underlying foundation of colleges and universities, public and private. The long-run impact upon the pursuit of excellence and the strengthening of core disciplines is causing a devastating decline in the central institutions for our nation's future growth and development. This path is weakening and destroying the fundamental strength which historically has made higher education so successful in our nation.

Higher education is an investment in human capital—both through the students who are served and the scholars whose research expands the knowledge base of our society. It is the basis for the growth of our national human capital. The public and private institutions of higher education represent our nation's "seed corn" for our future. We eat it at our peril.

There are countless other challenges assailing higher education, such as the escalating expenditures on big time athletics, that are dramatically outpacing those for basic academic

The "scientific method of inquiry," which for centuries has been the central foundation for the increase in human knowledge, is being steadily eroded.

functions,² or the challenges faced by the explosive new fad of MOOCs (Massive Online Open Courses).³ Despite all these problems, I am optimistic that my former colleagues whether trustees, presidents, faculty, alumni, or donors—are fully capable of overcoming these challenges. Best of all, I am certain that their commitment to the academic enterprise and their wisdom will lead to stronger and greater future human capital. As the largest private university in the country (Continued on page 21)

¹ William E. Kirwan, "The Completion Imperative: Harnessing Change to Meet our Responsibilities," 2013 Atwell Lecture, American Council on Education 95th Annual Meeting, March 3, 2013.

² Knight Commission on Intercollegiate Athletics, "Restoring the Balance: Dollars, Values, and the Future of College Sports" June 17, 2010.

³ Nick Anderson, "More universities try the MOOC model by moving professors' lectures on line," Washington Post, February 25, 2013.

SEED CORN

Continued from page 15

with its outstanding record of success, I am confident that NYU will make a major contribution.

Let me close by reflecting a moment on the competition between universities over which one is best. The problem is very acute if the alumnus has graduated from several universities—in my case three. I have learned that one way to dampen the conflict is with exaggerated humor. My favorite story is the following:

Three economics professors were in an airplane that fatally crashed. They arrived in Heaven to face God sitting on *her* great white throne.

God addresses the first professor. "What do you believe in?"

The first professor replies, "I studied and taught at Harvard. I believe in giving power to the people. I think that government is the best mechanism to help people make their dreams come true for a better life."

God thinks for a second and she says, "OK, I approve of that. Come and sit at my left."

God then addresses the second professor. "What do you believe in?

The second professor replies, "I studied and taught at Yale. I believe that the combustion engine is evil and that we must save the world from global warming to protect the whole earth from extinction."

God thinks for a second, and she says, "OK, that sounds good. Come and sit on my right."

God then addresses the third professor. "What do you believe in?"

The third professor replies, "I studied and taught at New York University...and I believe you are sitting on my throne!"